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## Banach manifolds of weights and quantization of mechanical systems whose phase space is a complex manifold


#### Abstract

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be an open set. A Lebesgue measurable function $\gamma: \Omega \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ is a weight on $\Omega$. The set $W(\Omega)$ of all weights on $\Omega$ is an infinite dimensional Banach manifold modeled on $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Let $L^{2} H(\Omega, \gamma)$ be the space of all holomorphic functions in $L^{2}(\Omega, \gamma)$. A weight $\gamma \in W(\Omega)$ is admissible if i) the evaluation functional $\delta_{z}: L^{2} H(\Omega, \gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \delta_{z}(f)=f(z)$, is continuous for any $z \in \Omega$, and ii) $L^{2} H(\Omega, \gamma)$ is a closed subspace of $L^{2}(\Omega, \gamma)$. The set $A W(\Omega)$ of admissible weights on $\Omega$ is an open subset in $W(\Omega)$. To every admissible weight $\gamma \in A W(\Omega)$ one associates a kernel function $K_{\gamma}(z, \zeta)$ organizing $L^{2} H(\Omega, \gamma)$ as a RKH space (cf. [2]). The interest in weighted kernels comes from quantization theory, for given a mechanical system whose phase space is $\Omega$ (or more generally a complex manifold admitting globally defined Kähler metrics) one may quantize classical states $z \in \Omega$ (besides from quantizing observables) by building an embedding $$
\begin{gather*} \Omega \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C P}(\mathcal{M})  \tag{0.1}\\ \mathcal{M}=\left\{s \in H^{0}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{O}\left(T^{*(n, 0)}(\Omega) \otimes E\right)\right):\langle s, s\rangle<\infty\right\} \\ \langle s, t\rangle=i^{n^{2}} \int_{\Omega} H(s, t), \quad s, t \in \mathcal{M} \end{gather*}
$$


Here $E=\Omega \times \mathbb{C}$ (the trivial complex line bundle). Using the embedding (0.1) one can (cf. [6]) calculate the transition probability amplitude from one point of $\Omega$ to another, and actually provide the interpretation of the normalized reproducing kernel function as the transition probability amplitude between two points of the complex phase space $\Omega$. The above interpretation is possible when the holomorphic and metric structures of the line bundle $E \rightarrow \Omega$ are tied by the requirement that the weight $\gamma \in A W(\Omega)$ satisfies the complex Monge-Ampère equation

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \gamma}{\partial z_{j} \partial \bar{z}_{k}}(z)\right]=(-1)^{n(n+1) / 2} C \frac{1}{n!} \gamma(z) K_{\gamma}(z, z)
$$

Let $\Omega=\{\varphi<0\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be a smoothly bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain. A notable class of admissible weights is $\gamma_{m}(z)=|\varphi|^{m}, m \in\{0,1,2, \cdots\}$. Let $K_{\gamma_{m}}(\zeta, z)$ be the reproducing kernel for $L^{2} H\left(\Omega, \gamma_{m}\right)$. By a result of M.M. Peloso (cf. [8])

$$
\begin{gather*}
K_{\gamma_{m}}(\zeta, z)=C_{\Omega}|\nabla \varphi(z)|^{2} \cdot \operatorname{det} L_{\varphi}(z) \cdot \Psi(\zeta, z)^{-(n+1+m)}+E(\zeta, z)  \tag{0.2}\\
E \in C^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega} \times \bar{\Omega} \backslash \Delta) \\
|E(\zeta, z)| \leq C_{\Omega}^{\prime}|\Psi(\zeta, z)|^{-(n+1+m)+1 / 2}|\log | \Psi(\zeta, z)| |
\end{gather*}
$$

For $m=0$ this is Fefferman's asymptotic expansion formula for the ordinary Bergman kernel, and Peloso recovers that for the points of the curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
C:(-1,+\infty) \rightarrow W(\Omega), \quad C(\alpha)=|\varphi|^{\alpha} \in A W(\Omega), \quad \alpha>-1 \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the integer values of the parameter. Extending (0.2) to all weights $\gamma \in A W(\Omega)$ is so far an open problem. By a result in [3] the curve (0.3) is discontinuous and every point of $C$ is an isolated point in $W(\Omega)$. The result may be looked at as a measure of the amount of job [deriving an asymptotic expansion formula for $\left.K_{\gamma}(z, \zeta)\right]$ left unsolved. We report on results extending (0.2) to ampler classes of weights (cf. [3], and M. Englis, [5]). There are significant classes of admissible weights going back as far as the more romantic times of the work by G. Cimmino (cf. [4]) on the Dirichlet problem with $L^{2}$ boundary data, and the classical work by A. Andreotti \& E. Vesentini (cf. [1]) who proved Carleman type estimates [to the purpose of establishing vanishing results for
the cohomology with compact supports $\left.H_{k}^{q}\left(\Omega, \Omega^{p}(E)\right)=0\right]$ in which admissible weights spring from the (many possible) choices of Hermitian metrics on $E$.
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