

Landscape, swampland and extra dimensions

I. Antoniadis

LPTHE, CNRS/Sorbonne University, Paris

and

HEP Research Unit, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University



Gravity, Strings and Supersymmetry Breaking

Scuola Normale Superiore, Pisa, 3-5 April 2025

Landscape and Swampland

Huge number of 4D string ground states with $N \leq 1$ SUSY

with all closed string moduli stabilised in terms of discrete fluxes

all physical couplings of the EFT fixed in terms of the moduli

Validity of the framework: weak string coupling and large volume

Identify physically relevant vacua:

need an extra input of guiding principle

Swampland Program: a possible approach

searching for conditions on EFTs that can consistently coupled to gravity

Vafa '05, Ooguri-Vafa '06

Distance/duality conjecture

At large distance in field space $\phi \Rightarrow$ tower of exponentially light states

$m \sim e^{-\alpha\phi}$ with $\alpha \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ parameter in Planck units

- provides a weakly coupled dual description up to the species scale

$$M_* = M_P / \sqrt{N} \quad \text{Dvali '07}$$

- tower can be either

- 1 a Kaluza-Klein tower (decompactification of d extra dimensions)

$$m \sim 1/R, \quad \phi = \ln R; \quad M_* = M_P^{(4+d)} = (m^d M_P^2)^{1/(d+2)}$$

- 2 a tower of string excitations

$$N = (M_* R)^d$$

$$M_* = m \sim \text{the string scale} = g_s M_P; \quad \phi = -\ln g_s, \quad N = 1/g_s^2$$

emergent string conjecture

Lee-Lerche-Weigand '19

smallness of physical scales : large distance corner of landscape?

Dark dimension proposal for the dark energy

$$m = \lambda^{-1} \Lambda^a \quad (M_P = 1) \quad ; \quad 1/4 \leq a \leq 1/2 \quad \text{Montero-Vafa-Valenzuela '22}$$

- distance $\phi = -\ln \Lambda$ Lust-Palti-Vafa '19

- $a \leq 1/2$: unitarity bound $m_{\text{spin}-2}^2 \geq 2H^2 \sim \Lambda$ Higuchi '87

- $a \geq 1/4$: estimate of 1-loop contribution $\Lambda \gtrsim m^4$

observations: $\Lambda \sim 10^{-120}$ and $m \gtrsim 0.01 \text{ eV}$ (Newton's law) $\Rightarrow a = 1/4$

astrophysical constraints $\Rightarrow d = 1$ extra dimension* ($d = 2?$)

\Rightarrow species scale (5d Planck mass) $M_* \simeq \lambda^{-1/3} 10^8 \text{ GeV}$

$$10^{-4} \lesssim \lambda \lesssim 10^{-1}$$

Obviously such a low m cannot correspond to a string tower

Physics implications of the dark dimension



See Review article 2405.04427

Dark matter candidates

- 2 main new DM candidates:

- ① 5D primordial black holes in the mass range $10^{15} - 10^{21} \text{g}$
with Schwarzschild radius in the range $10^{-4} - 10^{-2} \mu\text{m}$

Anchordoqui-I.A.-Lust '22

- ② KK-gravitons of decreasing mass due to internal decays (dynamical DM)
from $\sim \text{MeV}$ at matter/radiation equality ($T \sim \text{eV}$) to $\sim 50 \text{ keV}$ today


Gonzalo-Montero-Obied-Vafa '22

possible equivalence between the two


Anchordoqui-I.A.-Lust '22

Primordial Black Holes as Dark Matter

4d PBH



5d PBH



5D BHs live longer than 4D BHs of the same mass

Dark Dimension Radion stabilization and inflation

If 4d inflation occurs with fixed DD radius \Rightarrow

$$\text{(Higuchi bound)} \quad H_I \lesssim m \sim \text{eV} \Rightarrow M_I \lesssim 100 \text{ GeV}$$

$$\text{Inflation scale } M_I = \Lambda_I^{1/4} \simeq \sqrt{M_P H_I}$$

Interesting possibility: the extra dimension expands with time

$R_0 \sim 1/M_*$ to $R \sim \mu\text{m}$ requires ~ 40 efolds! Anchordoqui-I.A.-Lust '22

$$\begin{aligned} ds_5^2 &= a_5^2(-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}^2 + R_0^2 dy^2) \quad R_0 : \text{initial size prior to inflation} \\ &= \frac{ds_4^2}{R} + R^2 dy^2 \quad ; \quad ds_4^2 = a^2(-d\tau^2 + d\vec{x}^2) \quad \Rightarrow \quad a^2 = R^3 \end{aligned}$$

After 5d inflation of $N = 40$ -efolds $\Rightarrow 60$ e-folds in 4d with $a = e^{3N/2}$

Large extra dimensions from inflation in higher dimensions

Anchordoqui-IA '23

Large hierarchies in particle physics and cosmology

Particle physics: why gravity appears so weak compared to other forces?

$$M_p/M_w \sim 10^{16}$$

Cosmology: why the Universe is so large compared to our causal horizon?

at least 10^{26} larger

Question: can uniform $(4 + d)$ inflation relate the 2 hierarchies?

size of the observable universe to the observed weakness of gravity

compared to the fundamental (gravity/string) scale M_*

Extra dimensions should expand from the fundamental length

to the size required for the present strength of gravity

while at the same time the horizon problem is solved in our universe

Answer: yes for any d

Precision of CMB power spectrum measurement

Physical distances change from higher to 4 dims

equal time distance between two points in 3-space

$$d_{\text{phys}}^{\tau}(x, x') = \underset{\substack{\nearrow \\ \text{co-moving distance}}}{d(x, x')} a(\tau) = d(x, x') \hat{a}(\tau) \left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right)^{d/2} = \hat{d}_{\text{phys}}^{\tau}(x, x') \frac{M_p(\tau)}{M_*}$$

precision of CMB data: angles $\lesssim 10$ degrees, distances $\lesssim \text{Mpc}$ (Gpc today)

Mpc \rightarrow Mkm at $M_I \sim \text{TeV}$ with radiation dominated expansion

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \times \text{TeV}/M_I \text{ at a higher inflation scale } M_I \sim M_* \\ \times M_*/M_P \text{ conversion to higher-dim distances} \end{array} \right\} \times \text{TeV}/M_P$$

\simeq micron scale $\Rightarrow d = 1$ is singled out!★ ($d = 2?$)

$d > 1$: needs a period of 4D inflation for generating scale invariant density perturbations

Density perturbations from 5D inflation

inflaton (during inflation) \simeq massless minimally coupled scalar in dS space

\Rightarrow logarithmic growth at large distances (compared to the horizon H^{-1})

equal time 2-point function in momentum space at late cosmic time

$$\langle \Phi^2(\hat{k}, \tau) \rangle_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \simeq \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{H^3}{(\hat{k}^2)^2} \quad ; \quad \hat{k}^2 = k^2 + n^2/R^2$$



2-point function on the Standard Model brane (located at $y = 0$):

$$\sum_n \langle \Phi^2(\hat{k}, \tau) \rangle_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \simeq \frac{2RH^3}{k^2} \left(\frac{1}{k} \coth(\pi kR) + \frac{\pi R}{\sinh^2(\pi kR)} \right) \quad ; \quad k = 2\pi/\lambda$$

Amplitude of the power spectrum: $\mathcal{A} = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \langle \Phi^2(k, \tau) \rangle_{y=0}$

- $\pi kR > 1$ ('small' wave lengths) $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \sim \frac{H^2}{\pi^2} \quad n_s \simeq 1$
- $\pi kR < 1$ ('large' wave lengths) $\Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \simeq \frac{2H^3}{\pi^3 k} \quad n_s \simeq 0$

Large-angle CMB power spectrum



Detailed computation of primordial perturbations:

IA-Cunat-Guillen '23

5D: inflaton + metric (5 gauge invariant modes) \Rightarrow

4D: 2 scalar modes (inflaton + radion), 2 tensor modes, 2 vector modes

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} \simeq \frac{1}{3\varepsilon} \mathcal{A} \left[\left(\frac{k}{\hat{a}H} \right)^{2\delta-5\varepsilon} + \varepsilon \left(\frac{k}{\hat{a}H} \right)^{-3\varepsilon} \times \begin{cases} \frac{5}{24} & R_0 k \gg 1 \\ \frac{1}{3} & R_0 k \ll 1 \end{cases} \right]$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{T}} \simeq \frac{4H^2}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{k}{\hat{a}H} \right)^{-3\varepsilon} \times \begin{cases} R_0 H & R_0 k \gg 1 \\ \frac{2H}{\pi k} & R_0 k \ll 1 \end{cases} \quad r = 24\varepsilon$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}} \simeq \frac{4R_0 H^3}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{k}{\hat{a}H} \right)^{-3\varepsilon} \times \begin{cases} 1 & R_0 k \gg 1 \\ \frac{\pi^3}{45} (R_0 k)^3 & R_0 k \ll 1 \end{cases} \quad S^1/Z_2 (n \neq 0)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}} \simeq \frac{9\varepsilon^2}{16} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} \quad \text{entropy} \Rightarrow \beta_{\text{isocurvature}} = \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}}{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}} + \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{S}}} \simeq \frac{9\varepsilon^2}{16} < 0.038 \exp$$

slow-roll parameters: $\varepsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$; $\delta = \varepsilon - \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{2H\varepsilon} \simeq \eta - \varepsilon$

End of inflation

Inflaton: 5D field φ with a coupling to the brane to produce SM matter

e.g. via a 'Yukawa' coupling suppressed by the bulk volume $y \sim 1/(RM_*)^{1/2}$

Its decay to KK gravitons should be suppressed to ensure $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} < 0.2$

Anchordoqui '20

$$\left(\Gamma_{\text{SM}}^{\varphi} \sim \frac{m}{M_*} m_{\varphi} \right) > \left(\Gamma_{\text{grav}}^{\varphi} \sim \frac{m_{\varphi}^4}{M_*^3} \right) \Rightarrow m_{\varphi} < 1 \text{ TeV}$$

5D cosmological constant at the minimum of the inflaton potential

\Rightarrow runaway radion potential:

$$V_0 \sim \frac{\Lambda_5^{\text{min}}}{R}; \quad (\Lambda_5^{\text{min}})^{1/5} \lesssim 100 \text{ GeV} \quad (\text{Higuchi bound})$$

canonically normalised radion: $\phi = \sqrt{3/2} \ln(R/r)$ $r \equiv \langle R \rangle_{\text{end of inflation}}$

\Rightarrow exponential quintessence-like form $V_0 \sim e^{-\alpha\phi}$ with $\alpha \simeq 0.8$

just at the allowed upper bound: Barreiro-Copeland-Nunes '00

Radion stabilisation at the end of 5D inflation

Anchordoqui-IA '23

Potential contributions stabilising the radion:

$$V = \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \hat{V} + V_C \quad ; \quad \hat{V} = 2\pi R \Lambda_5^{\min} + T_4 + 2\pi \frac{K}{R}$$

T_4 : 3-branes tension, K : kinetic gradients, V_C : Casimir energy

↑ Arkani-Hamed, Hall, Tucker-Smith, Weiner '99

Radion mass m_R : $\sim \text{eV}$ (m_{KK}) to 10^{-30} eV (m_{KK}^2/M_p) depending on K

- $K \sim M_*^3$, all 3 terms of \hat{V} of the same order, V_C negligible

tune $\Lambda_4 \sim 0_+ \Rightarrow m_R \lesssim m_{KK} \sim \text{eV}$


- K negligible, all 3 remaining terms of the same order [16]

\Rightarrow minimum is driven by a +ve $V_C = \frac{2\pi r^2}{32\pi^7 R^6} (N_F - N_B) \leftarrow$ light d.o.f.

Arkani-Hamed, Dubovsky, Nicolis, Villadoro '07

no tuning of Λ_4 but Λ_5^{\min} should be order (subeV)⁵

Example of Radion stabilisation potential



$$(\Lambda_5^{\min})^{1/5} = 25 \text{ meV}, |T_4|^{1/4} = 27 \text{ meV}, N_F - N_B = 7$$

$$N_F = 12 \text{ (3 bulk R-neutrinos)} \quad N_B = 5 \text{ (5D graviton)}$$

Cosmic discrepancies and Hubble tension

Anchordoqui-I.A.-Lust '23, AAL-Noble-Soriano '24

5σ tension between global and local measurements

$H_0 = 67.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ Planck data

$H_0 = 73.04 \pm 1.04 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ SH0ES supernova

This tension can be resolved if Λ changes sign around redshift $z \simeq 2$

Akarsu-Barrow-Escamilla-Vasquez '20, AV-Di Valentino-Kumar-Nunez-Vazquez '23

AdS \rightarrow dS transition is hard to implement due to a swampland conjecture:

non-SUSY AdS vacua are at infinite distance in moduli space

However it could happen due to quantum tunnelling effects

AdS \rightarrow dS transition due to false vacuum decay in 5D


5D scalar at a false vacuum with light mass (lighter than R_{max}^{-1})

$N_F - N_B = 6 \Rightarrow$ AdS vacuum

decay to a (almost degenerate $\delta\epsilon < \Lambda$) true vacuum with heavy mass

$N_F - N_B = 7 \Rightarrow$ dS vacuum

slow transition at $z \simeq 2$



$$(\Lambda_5^{\text{min}})^{1/5} = 22.6 \text{ meV}, \quad |T_4|^{1/4} = 24.2 \text{ meV}$$

Conclusions

smallness of some physical parameters might signal

a large distance corner in the string landscape of vacua

such parameters can be the scales of dark energy and SUSY breaking

mesoscopic dark dimension proposal: interesting phenomenology

neutrino masses, dark matter, cosmology, SUSY breaking

Large extra dimensions from higher dim inflation

- connect the weakness of gravity to the size of the observable universe
- scale invariant density fluctuations from 5D inflation
- radion stabilization