

Universität

PSI



- challenge :: (data  $\rightarrow$  loop integral)  $\rightarrow$  phenomenology
- where

Universität

- internal hadrons :: HVP (well known ...)
- external hadrons :: pion poles  $F_{\pi}$  [Colangelo et al 22]

how

- (data ightarrow loop integral) :: disperson relations  $\checkmark$
- (data → loop integral) → pheno goal automatised and efficient as much as possible
- solution :: Disperon QED
  - $= \textbf{OpenLoops*} \oplus \textbf{Disperon EFT} \oplus \textbf{universal threshold subtraction}$

\*for  $\operatorname{McMule}$ 

$$\bigvee_{k^2} \in ee \to \mu\mu\gamma \text{ (ln NLO)} \quad \frac{\Pi(k^2)}{k^2} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{S} \frac{\mathrm{Im}\Pi(\mathbf{S})}{\mathbf{S}(k^2 - \mathbf{S})}$$

HVP treatment well established :: also hyperspherical method [Fael 18] (t-channel)

$$\Rightarrow \int \mathrm{d}S \left( \bigvee \right)$$

... can be described by a massive dispersive photon, a disperon

PSI Universität

$$\bigvee_{k^2} \in ee \to \mu\mu\gamma \ @ \ \mathsf{NNLO} \quad \frac{\Pi(k^2)}{k^2} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4m_\pi^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{S} \frac{\mathsf{Im}\Pi(\mathbf{S})}{\mathbf{S}(k^2 - \mathbf{S})}$$

HVP treatment well established :: also hyperspherical method [Fael 18] (t-channel)

$$\implies \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{S} \left( \bigvee - \mathrm{CT} \right) + \int \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{S} \,\mathrm{CT}$$

... can be described by a massive dispersive photon, a disperon

example:  $ee \rightarrow \pi\pi$  @ NLO FsQED [Colangelo et al 22] (also calculated in [Budassi et al 24] and [Holz, Cottini])



... can be described by a massive dispersive photon, a disperon

example:  $ee \rightarrow \pi\pi$  @ NLO FsQED [Colangelo et al 22] (also calculated in [Budassi et al 24] and [Holz, Cottini])

$$\Rightarrow \frac{F_{\pi}(k^2)}{k^2} = \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{4m_{\pi}^2}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}S \frac{\mathrm{Im}F_{\pi}(S)}{S(k^2 - S)}$$
$$\Rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int \mathrm{d}S \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} - \mathrm{CT} \right) + \int \mathrm{d}S \operatorname{CT} + \int \mathrm{d}S_1 \mathrm{d}S_2 \right]$$

with threshold subtraction via counterterm for amplitude [McMule] ... can be described by a massive dispersive photon, a disperon



**Disperon QED** :: efficient automatisation of (data  $\rightarrow$  loop integral)  $\rightarrow$  pheno

- massive photon (disperon) with special Feynman rules  $\implies$  rely on **automated tools** :: McMuLE :: OpenLoops
- numerics :: dispersive integral  $\in$  Monte Carlo integration
  - $\implies$  stable and fast evaluation, also for  $S \rightarrow \infty$

 $\implies$  switch from full matrix element to expanded version at  $S=\Lambda\gg s, 4m_\pi^2$  described by Disperon EFT

$$\int^{\Lambda} \text{OpenLoops} + \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \text{EFT}$$

• threshold singularities dealt with through an universal description

# $\label{eq:Disperon QED} \\ = OpenLoops \oplus Disperon \ EFT \oplus universal \ threshold \ subtraction$

Sophie Kollatzsch, 08.05.25 - p.5/18



today: FsQED with Disperon QED

1.  $ee \rightarrow \pi\pi$ 

- Disperon EFT
- RMCL2 results
- 2. extension to  $ee \rightarrow \pi \pi \gamma$





**EFT** aspects

Why do we need an EFT?

 $\label{eq:EFT} \mathsf{EFT} \ni \mathsf{lin.} \ \mathsf{independent}^* \ \mathsf{set} \ \mathsf{of} \\ \textbf{gauge invariant} \ \mathsf{operators} \\$ 

- matching  $ee \rightarrow \pi\pi$ 
  - $\implies$  operators with  $\partial_{\mu}$
- use gauge invariance  $\partial_{\mu} 
  ightarrow D_{\mu}$



\*not important here



## **EFT** aspects



PSI Diversität

How do we pick  $\Lambda$ ?

- similar to 'slicing'
- first guess based on

 $\mathsf{OpenLoops}(S') \approx \mathsf{EFT}(S')$ 

vary it and check obs. dependence •  $\int \frac{dS}{S} \cdots \implies$  error can be large • precision vs. speed

•  $\Lambda = \Lambda(s)$ 



**Pion Disperon EFT** 

disperons  $S \ge \Lambda \gg s$  described by an 'EFT' up to dimension 8 # [MSc thesis Y. Fang]

$$= + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{S^3}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\gamma \mathbf{d}} &= \mathcal{L}_{\text{sQED}} \\ &+ \sim \int_{\mathbf{\Lambda}}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}S \frac{\mathrm{Im}F_{\pi}(S)}{\pi S} \left\{ \frac{\cdots}{S} (\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi) \left[ \pi^{\dagger} \left( i\overleftarrow{D}_{\mu} + i\overrightarrow{D}_{\mu} \right) \pi \right] + \cdots + \frac{\cdots}{S^{2}} \right\} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left( \frac{1}{S^{3}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

# such that no field redefinitions required to obtain dim8 result

## EFT performance vs. OpenLoops I

single-dispersive contributions [MSc thesis Y. Fang]

PSI 🕼 Universität



## EFT performance vs. OpenLoops II



# EFT performance vs. OpenLoops III



/18

impact of FsQED :: MCMULE plot update since Liverpool 11/2024: updates\* and test of FsQED implementation for  $ee \rightarrow \pi\pi$ •  $ee \rightarrow \pi\pi$  :: •  $ee \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma$  ::  $F_{\pi}$  + example: KLOE-LA

• public plots not yet updated, data + update plots available on gitlab

\*uses a manual calculation, not yet OpenLoops



MCMULE plot update :: CMD  $\sqrt{s} = 0.7 \,\mathrm{GeV}$ 







PSI Universität



towards  $ee \rightarrow \pi \pi \gamma$  and beyond

### Disperon QED

- = OpenLoops  $\oplus$  Disperon EFT  $\oplus$  universal threshold subtraction
- 1. We can rely on OpenLoops :: stability & speed
- 2. The EFT is also valid for  $ee \to \pi\pi\gamma$ .  $\partial_{\mu} \to D_{\mu} \implies$ just calculate

3. Threshold singularities are under control. now



#### What we know

•  $ee \rightarrow \pi\pi$  single-dispersive box has a singularity at  $S = s \implies$  counterterm

$$\int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{S} \left( \boxed{\sum_{s=1}^{s}} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{S}} \left( \frac{s}{\mathbf{S} - s} \right)^{1+2\epsilon} f(s, t) \right) + \left( \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{S} \frac{1}{\mathbf{S}} \left( \frac{s}{\mathbf{S} - s} \right)^{1+2\epsilon} \right) f(s, t)$$

#### What about $ee \rightarrow \pi \pi \gamma$ ?

- Landau equations  $\implies$   $S = s s_{15} s_{25}$  for single-dispersive box
- 'Cayley reduction'

$$--- = \frac{1}{\text{non-vanishing}} + \text{ all others}$$

 $\implies$  singularities are the same for  $ee \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma \implies$  'universal' subtraction Sophie Kollatzsch. 08.05.25 - p.16/18



### Disperon QED

= OpenLoops  $\oplus$  Disperon EFT  $\oplus$  universal threshold subtraction

• will provide  $q_e^3 q_\pi^2$  for FsQED  $ee \to \pi \pi \gamma$  @ NLO

PSI

• will provide VP contributions for  $ee \rightarrow \mu\mu\gamma$  @ NNLO [David's talk]  $\implies$  speed up process & stable evaluation

• FsQED  $ee \rightarrow \pi \pi \gamma$  @ NLO beyond  $q_e^3 q_\pi^2$  – What makes sense ??

• extend to proton-poles :: two-photon exchange for  $ep \rightarrow ep$  ??

Sophie Kollatzsch, 08.05.25 - p.17/18





## MCMULE

mule-tools.gitlab.io

f.l.t.r.: S.Kollatzsch (Zurich & PSI), A.Signer (Zurich & PSI), V.Sharkovska (Zurich & Mainz), S.Gündogdu (Zurich & PSI), D.Moreno (PSI), A.Coutinho (IFIC), Y.Ulrich (Liverpool), D.Radic (Zurich & PSI), L.Naterop (Zurich & PSI), M.Rocco (Turin) not shown: F.Hagelstein (Mainz), N.Schalch (Oxford), P.Banerjee (Cosenza), M.Ronchi (Mainz), Y.Fang (ETH), P.Wahlen (ETH), R.Krolzig (Zurich)

Sophie Kollatzsch, 08.05.25 - p.18/18



disperons  $S \ge \Lambda \gg s$  described by an 'EFT' up to dimension 8 [MSc thesis Y. Fang]

$$= \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{c} 1\\ S^3 \end{array}\right)} + \underbrace{\left(\begin{array}{c} 1\\ S^3 \end{array}\right)}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{d_1 d_2} &= \mathcal{L}_{\gamma d_{1,2}} \\ &+ \sim \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}S_1 \int_{\Lambda}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}S_2 \frac{\mathrm{Im} F_{\pi}(S_1) \mathrm{Im} F_{\pi}(S_2)}{\pi^2 S_1 S_2} \left\{ \frac{\cdots}{S_1 - S_2} \left[ (\bar{\psi} i \not\!\!D \psi) \pi^{\dagger} \pi \right] + \cdots \right\} \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{S^3}\right) \end{split}$$