BABAYAGA@NLO for radiative processes

Workshop on radiative corrections and Monte Carlo simulations for electron-positron collisions

Marco Ghilardi







UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA

BABAYAGA@NLO



It simulates multiple QED processes

$$\rightarrow e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^- (+n\gamma) \rightarrow e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- (+n\gamma) \rightarrow e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma (+n\gamma)$$

with multiple-photon emission in a QED Parton Shower framework, matched with exact NLO matrix element

C.M. Carloni Calame et al., Nucl. Phys. B 584 (2000) 459

G. Balossini et al., Nucl. Phys. B758 (2006) 227

G. Balossini et al., Phys. Lett. 663 (2008) 209

BABAYAGA@NLO



It simulates multiple QED processes

with multiple-photon emission in a QED Parton Shower framework, matched with exact NLO matrix element

C.M. Carloni Calame et al., Nucl. Phys. B 584 (2000) 459

G. Balossini et al., Nucl. Phys. B758 (2006) 227

G. Balossini et al., Phys. Lett. 663 (2008) 209

- Extension to $\pi\pi$ final state wit NLOPS in *sQED* with:
 - F × sQED
 - GVMD
 - FsQED

E. Budassi et al., arXiv:2409.03469





• The existing exact NLO codes are MCMULE and PHOKARA.



- The existing exact NLO codes are MCMULE and PHOKARA.
- Plans for $BABAYAGA@NLO \Rightarrow NLOPS$ for radiative signatures



- The existing exact NLO codes are MCMULE and PHOKARA.
- Plans for BABAYAGA@NLO \Rightarrow NLOPS for radiative signatures
 - $\star\,$ NLO accuracy for radiative channels

$$\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma \\ \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma \\ \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma \quad (\text{With } F \times sQED) \end{array}$$



- The existing exact NLO codes are MCMULE and PHOKARA.
- Plans for BABAYAGA@NLO \Rightarrow NLOPS for radiative signatures
 - $\star\,$ NLO accuracy for radiative channels

$$\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma \\ \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma \\ \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma \quad (\text{With } F \times sQED) \end{array}$$

- VP treatment
 - \hookrightarrow Pure perturbative approach

$$\mathcal{M}_V = \mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}_{VP}$$



- The existing exact NLO codes are MCMULE and PHOKARA.
- Plans for BABAYAGA@NLO \Rightarrow NLOPS for radiative signatures
 - $\star\,$ NLO accuracy for radiative channels

$$\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma \\ \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma \\ \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma \quad (\text{With } F \times sQED) \end{array}$$

VP treatment

 \hookrightarrow Pure perturbative approach

$$\mathcal{M}_V = \mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}_{VP}$$

 $\hookrightarrow \ \mathsf{Factorized} \ \mathsf{approach}$

$$\mathcal{M}_V = \mathcal{M}' imes rac{lpha(q^2)}{lpha(0)}$$



- \bullet The only strict NLO codes are McMule and $\mathrm{Phokara}.$
- Plans for $BABAYAGA@NLO \Rightarrow NLOPS$ for radiative signatures
 - $\star\,$ NLO accuracy for radiative channels

$$\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma \\ \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma \\ \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma \quad (\text{With } F \times \textit{sQED}) \end{array}$$

VP treatment

 \hookrightarrow Pure perturbative approach

$$\mathcal{M}_V = \mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}_{VP}$$

 $\hookrightarrow \ \mathsf{Factorized} \ \mathsf{approach}$

$$\mathcal{M}_V = \mathcal{M}' imes rac{lpha(q^2)}{lpha(0)}$$



- \bullet The only strict NLO codes are McMule and $\mathrm{PHOKARA}.$
- Plans for $BABAYAGA@NLO \Rightarrow NLOPS$ for radiative signatures
 - $\star\,$ NLO accuracy for radiative channels

$$\begin{array}{l} \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma \\ \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma \\ \rightarrow \ e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma \quad (\text{With } F \times \textit{sQED}) \end{array}$$

VP treatment

 \hookrightarrow Pure perturbative approach

$$\mathcal{M}_V = \mathcal{M}' + \mathcal{M}_{VP}$$

 \hookrightarrow Factorized approach

$$\mathcal{M}_V = \mathcal{M}' imes rac{lpha(q^2)}{lpha(0)}$$

- Radiative Bhabha
 - $\hookrightarrow\,$ Virtual amplitude evaluated with RECOLA

- Divergences
 - Dimensional regularization for UV divergences ε
 - Photon mass regularization for IR divergences λ

- Divergences
 - Dimensional regularization for UV divergences ε
 - Photon mass regularization for IR divergences λ
- On-shell renormalization

- Divergences
 - Dimensional regularization for UV divergences ε
 - Photon mass regularization for IR divergences λ
- On-shell renormalization
- NLO virtual amplitude
 - \hookrightarrow Semi-automatic computation through FEYNCALC and FEYNARTS.
 - $\hookrightarrow\,$ Evaluation of scalar and tensor integrals through $\rm COLLIER$

DI PAVIA

- Divergences
 - Dimensional regularization for UV divergences ε
 - Photon mass regularization for IR divergences λ
- On-shell renormalization
- NLO virtual amplitude
 - \hookrightarrow Semi-automatic computation through FEYNCALC and FEYNARTS.
 - $\hookrightarrow\,$ Evaluation of scalar and tensor integrals through $\rm COLLIER$



DI PAVIA



Considering the $\mu\mu\gamma$ channel in a KLOE-like scenario [arxiv:1201.0968]

Considering the $\mu\mu\gamma$ channel in a KLOE-like scenario [arxiv:1201.0968]





Considering the $\mu\mu\gamma$ channel in a KLOE-like scenario [arxiv:1201.0968]



• 10⁹ accepted events

• $2 \cdot 10^9$ accepted events

UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA

- $\pi\pi\gamma$ channel in the same scenario.
- Only the 5-point contribution to the virtual amplitude.

UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA

- $\pi\pi\gamma$ channel in the same scenario.
- Only the 5-point contribution to the virtual amplitude.
 - \hookrightarrow Not gauge invariant test.

- $\pi\pi\gamma$ channel in the same scenario.
- Only the 5-point contribution to the virtual amplitude.
 - \hookrightarrow Not gauge invariant test.
 - \hookrightarrow It allows for a much more accurate assessment of the instabilities, since

$$rac{\mathcal{M}_{5-
ho t}\cdot\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}}{\mathcal{M}_{V}\cdot\mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}}\ll 1$$



- $\pi\pi\gamma$ channel in the same scenario.
- Only the 5-point contribution to the virtual amplitude.
 - \hookrightarrow Not gauge invariant test.

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{5-\textit{pt}}\cdot\mathcal{M}_0^*}{\mathcal{M}_V\cdot\mathcal{M}_0^*}\ll 1$$



$F \times sQED$ for $\pi\pi\gamma$



• ISR real photon





KLOE-I event selection - $\pi\pi\gamma$

$$\star \sqrt{s} = 1.02 \, \mathrm{GeV}$$

•
$$50^\circ \le heta_\pm \le 130^\circ$$

•
$$\left| \boldsymbol{p}_{\pm}^{z} \right| \geq$$
 90 MeV $~\vee~~ \left| \boldsymbol{p}_{\pm}^{\perp} \right| \geq$ 160 MeV

$$ullet$$
 50 $^\circ$ $\leq heta_\gamma \leq$ 130 $^\circ$ \wedge $E_\gamma \geq$ 20 MeV

•
$$0.1 \, {
m GeV}^2 \le M_{XX}^2 \le 0.85 \, {
m GeV}^2$$





KLOE-I event selection - $\mu\mu\gamma$

$$\star \sqrt{s} = 1.02 \, \text{GeV}$$

•
$$50^\circ \le heta_\pm \le 130^\circ$$

•
$$\left| \boldsymbol{p}_{\pm}^{z} \right| \geq$$
 90 MeV $~\vee~~ \left| \boldsymbol{p}_{\pm}^{\perp} \right| \geq$ 160 MeV

$$ullet$$
 50 $^\circ$ $\leq heta_\gamma \leq$ 130 $^\circ$ \wedge $E_\gamma \geq$ 20 MeV

•
$$0.1 \, {
m GeV}^2 \le M_{XX}^2 \le 0.85 \, {
m GeV}^2$$





KLOE-I event selection - $ee\gamma$

$$\star \sqrt{s} = 1.02 \, \mathrm{GeV}$$

•
$$50^\circ \le \theta_\pm \le 130^\circ$$

•
$$\left| \boldsymbol{p}_{\pm}^{z} \right| \geq$$
 90 MeV $~\vee~~ \left| \boldsymbol{p}_{\pm}^{\perp} \right| \geq$ 160 MeV

$$ullet$$
 50 $^\circ$ $\leq heta_\gamma \leq$ 130 $^\circ$ \wedge $E_\gamma \geq$ 20 MeV

•
$$0.1 \, {
m GeV}^2 \le M_{XX}^2 \le 0.85 \, {
m GeV}^2$$

•
$$50^\circ$$
 $\leq heta_\gamma \leq 130^\circ$ \wedge $E_\gamma \geq$ 20 MeV

$$0.1 \,\text{GeV}^2 \le M_{XX}^2 \le 0.85 \,\text{GeV}^2$$





KLOE-II event selection - $\pi\pi\gamma$



$$\star \sqrt{s} = 1.02 \, \mathrm{GeV}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \ \ 50^\circ &\leq \theta_\pm \leq 130^\circ \\ \bullet \ \ |p_\pm^z| \geq 90 \, \text{MeV} \ \ \lor \ \ |p_\pm^\perp| \geq 160 \, \text{MeV} \\ \bullet \ \ \theta_{\tilde{\gamma}} \leq 15^\circ \ \ \lor \ \ \theta_{\tilde{\gamma}} \geq 165^\circ \end{aligned}$$

•
$$0.35 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2 \le M_{XX}^2 \le 0.95 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$$

where

$$\left\{ egin{array}{ll} p_{ ilde{\gamma}} = -\left(p_+ + p_-
ight) \ \xi = \left| heta^+ + heta^- - \pi
ight| \end{array}
ight.$$



KLOE-II event selection - $\mu\mu\gamma$

$$\star~\sqrt{s}=1.02\,{
m GeV}$$

• $50^\circ \le heta_\pm \le 130^\circ$

1 00 0 1/

•
$$\left| oldsymbol{p}_{\pm}^z
ight| \geq$$
 90 MeV $~\vee~~ \left| oldsymbol{p}_{\pm}^{\perp}
ight| \geq$ 160 MeV

•
$$heta_{ ilde{\gamma}} \leq 15^\circ \ \lor \ heta_{ ilde{\gamma}} \geq 165^\circ$$

•
$$0.35 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2 \le M_{XX}^2 \le 0.95 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$$

where

$$\left\{egin{array}{ll} p_{ ilde{\gamma}}=-\left(p_{+}+p_{-}
ight)\ \xi=\left| heta^{+}+ heta^{-}-\pi
ight| \end{array}
ight.$$



università Di pavia

BES3 event selection - $\pi\pi\gamma$



$$\star \sqrt{s} = 4 \, \text{GeV}$$

- $|\cos heta_{\pm}| \leq 0.93 \wedge \left| oldsymbol{p}_{\pm}^{\perp}
 ight| \geq 300 \, {
 m MeV}$
- $|\cos \theta_{\gamma}| \le 0.8 \land E_{\gamma} \ge 25 \text{ MeV or} \\ 0.86 \le |\cos \theta_{\gamma}| \le 0.92 \land E_{\gamma} \ge 50 \text{ MeV} \end{cases}$
- \exists ! photon with $E_{\gamma} \geq 400 \text{ MeV}$



BES3 event selection - $\mu\mu\gamma$



$$\star \sqrt{s} = 4 \, \text{GeV}$$

- $|\cos heta_{\pm}| \leq 0.93 \wedge \left| p_{\pm}^{\perp} \right| \geq 300 \, {
 m MeV}$
- $|\cos \theta_{\gamma}| \le 0.8 \land E_{\gamma} \ge 25 \text{ MeV or} \\ 0.86 \le |\cos \theta_{\gamma}| \le 0.92 \land E_{\gamma} \ge 50 \text{ MeV} \end{cases}$
- \exists ! photon with $E_{\gamma} \geq 400 \text{ MeV}$



B event selection - $\pi\pi\gamma$

- $\star \sqrt{s} = 10 \, {
 m GeV}$
- 0.65 rad $\leq heta_{\pm} \leq$ 2.75 rad $\wedge |p_{\pm}| \geq 1 \, \text{GeV}$
- $0.6 \le heta_\gamma \le 2.7 \ \textit{rad} \land \textit{E}_\gamma \ge 3 \, {
 m GeV}$
- $heta_{\tilde{\gamma},\gamma^{(h)}} \leq 0.3$ rad
- $M_{\pi\pi\gamma} \geq 8 {
 m GeV}$





B event selection - $\mu\mu\gamma$



$$\star \sqrt{s} = 10 \, \text{GeV}$$

- 0.65 rad $\leq heta_{\pm} \leq$ 2.75 rad $\land |p_{\pm}| \geq 1 \, \text{GeV}$
- $0.6 \le heta_\gamma \le 2.7 \ \textit{rad} \land E_\gamma \ge 3 \, \text{GeV}$
- $\theta_{\tilde{\gamma},\gamma^{(h)}} \leq 0.3$ rad
- $M_{\pi\pi\gamma} \geq 8 {
 m GeV}$





Completed

* Exact NLO for radiative channels



Completed

Ongoing

* Exact NLO for radiative channels

* Pure PS for radiative signatures



Completed

* Exact NLO for radiative channels



- * Pure PS for radiative signatures
- * Matching procedure



Completed

* Exact NLO for radiative channels



* Pure PS for radiative signatures
* Matching procedure

NLOPS for radiative channels

